

REMARKS

Claims 40-80 are pending in the application. In the Office Action, claims 40-42, 44, 45, 47-49, 52, 53, and 58 were rejected; and claims 43, 46, 50, 51, 54-57, and 59-80 were objected to for depending from rejected claims.

The abstract of the disclosure was objected to because it was not written as one paragraph. The abstract of the disclosure has now been amended as indicated above to conform with the requirements of MPEP §608.01 (b). Therefore, reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection to the abstract is respectfully requested.

Claims 40-42, 44, 45, 47-49, 52, 53, and 58 were rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by US 3,494,067 to Sellet ("Sellet") for the reasons set forth in the Office Action, Page 2.

Claims 40 and 45 have been amended as indicated above to delete the embodiments where Q contains urethane linkages and to incorporate the limitations of claims 43 and 46, respectively, where Q contains isocyanurate functionality. Claims 43 and 46 have now been canceled. Likewise, claims 41, 42 and 44 have been canceled. As the non-canceled claims now all depend either directly or indirectly from amended claim 40, Applicants assert that these claims are not anticipated by Sellet. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 40-42, 44, 45, 47-49, 52, 53, and 58 is respectfully requested.

Further, new claim 81 has now been added which Applicants believe is novel and unobvious over Sellet.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants believe the present application is now in condition for allowance. The Examiner is encouraged to contact the attorney listed below should there be any questions regarding the foregoing.

Respectfully submitted,



Deborah M. Altman

Registration No. 42,259

Attorney of Record

Telephone No.: (412) 434-2922

Facsimile No.: (412) 434-4292

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
June 24, 2005